## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)    |  |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/576,259       | KAKEGAWA, JUNKO |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit        |  |
| Darcy D. LaClair | 1796            |  |

|   | Darcy D. LaClair   | 1796   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance       | it, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>r).  | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII       | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of the compa</li></ol> | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| AMENDMENTS  | uit milar ta tha data af filing a briaf  | will not be entered be                                     |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |  | cause                                    |
| <ul><li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | ter form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying th                                   | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: See attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4   |  | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | mpliant Amendment (/                                       | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | •  | -  | _  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,7-15,19 and 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  | I be entered and an ex                                     | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application ir  | n condition for allowan                                    | ce because:                              |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See attachment</u> .  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
| /Vasu Jagannathan/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796  | /D. D. L./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1796  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |